

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/720,460	11/24/2003	Shigeo Ohno	2352.002	6486
	7590 10/22/200 IENBERG FARLEY &	EXAMINER		
5 COLUMBIA	CIRCLE	STEADMAN, DAVID J		
ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	1656	
			NAV. DATE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/720,460	OHNO, SHIGEO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David J. Steadman	1656	

·	David J. Steadman	1656	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba îdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	to outonaion foo
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		(270)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,16,17 and 20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>4-14,18 and 19</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.  Other:	, ,		
		af	
		David J. Steadman	, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1656

Application No. 10/720,460

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment after final rejection, filed on 10/12/07, is acknowledged. However, the amendment has not been entered because the claim amendment fails to satisfy the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 (see attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration in the reply filed on 10/12/07 has been fully considered, however, the amendment does not place the application in condition for allowance. The amendment to the claims filed on 10/12/07 has not been entered because the claims as amended do not comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 as noted on the attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment. See MPEP § 714. Applicant's arguments in the amendment filed on 10/12/07 have been fully considered. However, in view of the non-entry of the amendment, applicant's arguments are not found persuasive to overcome the outstanding rejection(s) as set forth in the Office action mailed on 4/27/07 for the reasons of record stated therein. Even if the amendment were entered, it is noted that the rejection of claims 1, 3, and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohnishi et al. ("22nd Annual Meeting of the Molecular Biology Society of Japan," Program and Abstracts, December 7-10, 1999; cited as reference CC in the IDS filed on 8/3/06) would be maintained because the reference sufficiently enables one of ordinary skill in the art to make the claimed invention.

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/720,460	OHNO, SHIGEO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David J. Steadman	1656	

	David 3. Steadinan	1000		
T	he MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address		
The amendment document filed on <u>12 October 2007</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following tem(s) is required.				
	OWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUME Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings.  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined.  C. Other	ENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT:		
☐ 2. <i>A</i>	Abstract:  Abstract:  B. Other			
	Amendments to the drawings:  A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "R "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d).  B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with C. Other	en eliminated. Replacement drawings		
	Amendments to the claims:  A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present.  B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims.  C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identified of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every conumber by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.	fier, and as such, the individual status laim must be indicated after its claim al), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn-currently amended).		
<u> </u>	Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance	with 37 CFR 1.4):		
For further e	explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see	MPEP § 714.		
TIME PERIO	DDS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:			
filed afte	nt is given <b>no new time period</b> if the non-compliant amendment is ar er allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after- corrected amendment must be resubmitted.			
correction (includir amendn <i>Quayle</i> :	nt is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the content on, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliming a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under nent filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), an action. If any of above boxes 1, to 4, are checked, the correction requippliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.	ary amendment, a non-final amendment 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental and an amendment filed in response to a		
	nsions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-condment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.	compliant amendment is a non-final		
AI file No	tre to timely respond to this notice will result in: bandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a ed in response to a Quayle action; or on-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a pre mendment.			
	and Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telephone No.		

Continuation of 4(e) Other: According to 37 CFR 1.121(c)(2), "All claims being currently amended in an amendment paper shall be...submitted with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims." Instant claim 17 fails to include markings to show changes made relative to claim 17 as presented in the amendment filed on 3/20/07. Also, it is noted that claim 3 includes marking relative to the prior version, i.e., underlining between "129 to 3657" and "of SEQ ID NO:2". However, the status identifier for claim 3 is "previously presented".